

Using HAP Emissions Data to Evaluate Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards

Regi Oommen and Darcy Wilson

Eastern Research Group, Inc. (ERG, Inc.), 1600 Perimeter Park Drive, Morrisville, NC 27560

regi.oommen@erg.com

Anne Pope

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, USEPA Mailroom, Mail Code: C304-06, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

pope.anne@epa.gov

ABSTRACT

Acute and chronic exposure to specific hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) can lead to cancer and/or noncancer effects. Since the passage of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has spent considerable time and resources establishing federal regulations, primarily through maximum achievable control technology (MACT) standards, to reduce emissions for hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) from stationary sources. Identification of the most important individual emission sources and source categories significantly contributing to potential health risks is challenging for many air quality managers. Large reductions in HAP emissions may not necessarily translate into significant reductions in health risk because toxicity varies by pollutant. For example, acetaldehyde mass emissions are more than double acrolein emissions on a national basis, according to EPA's 2002 National Emissions Inventory (NEI). However, according to the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), acrolein is 450 times more toxic in terms of respiratory noncancer risk than acetaldehyde. Thus, it is important to account for the toxicity as well as the mass of the targeted emissions when designing reduction strategies to maximize health benefits.

This paper uses the 2002 and 2005 NEI point source data to explore a toxicity-weighting approach for identifying pollutants that pose the greatest potential health risk. In addition, the results of this toxicity weighted emissions approach are summarized by MACT standard.

INTRODUCTION

Acute and chronic exposure to specific hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) can lead to cancer and/or noncancer effects. Since the passage of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA),¹ EPA has spent considerable time and resources establishing federal regulations, primarily through maximum achievable control technology (MACT) standards, to reduce emissions for HAPs. Atmospheric models, such as those executed for the National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA), are often used to characterize the nation's air toxics problem both in absolute as well as relative senses by geographic area and pollutant. In addition, ambient monitoring data can help identify pollutants and specific emission sources impacting an area's air quality, and track changes or identify trends in ambient concentrations.

HAP modeling may not be feasible for many state, local, and tribal agencies to perform due to time, resource, and expertise constraints. Additionally, due to the complexity and time required to develop national emissions inventories and subsequently model the entire U.S., NATA results are often available years after the actual time of the emissions (e.g., NATA 1999 was not publicly available until 2006). An alternative approach may help air quality managers screen for pollutants that may contribute most significantly to health risks in their area by toxicity-weighting their emissions inventory using an analytic method developed by EPA.² This paper demonstrates the approach for the toxicity-weighting

process, as well as preliminarily evaluating the effectiveness of MACT standards implemented between the 2002 and 2005 NEIs.

DATA SOURCES

National Emissions Inventory (NEI)

EPA compiles the NEI, consisting of stationary (point and nonpoint area), mobile (onroad and nonroad), and biogenic source emissions for the entire United States.³ These emission inventories are typically compiled for three years. Primary data sources for the point sources NEI include:

- 1) State, local, and tribal agency emission inventories;
- 2) EPA's SPPD and Risk Technology and Review (RTR) Programs;
- 3) Department of Energy's (DOE) Energy Information Agency (EIA) and EPA's Clean Air Markets Division (CAMD) Emission Tracking System/Continuous Emissions Monitoring (ETS/CEM) data for electric generating utilities (EGUs);
- 4) EPA's Toxic Release Inventory (TRI); and
- 5) data from other studies (e.g., trade associations, Minerals Management Services oil and natural gas platform data).

Pollutants in the NEI consist of HAPs and criteria air pollutants (CAPs) and their precursors (CO, NH₃, NO_x, PM, SO₂, and VOCs). Base year inventories are typically compiled every three years; Version 3 of the 2002 point sources NEI is publicly available.⁴ At this time, Version 3 of the 2005 point sources NEI is currently being evaluated internally by EPA.⁵ It is important to note that although most of the data in the NEI is submitted by state/local/tribal air agencies, it is by no means complete and may contain under- and over-reported emissions data.

Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) Data

Since the passage of the 1990 CAAA, EPA's Sector Policies and Programs Division (SPPD) has promulgated and implemented dozens of industry-specific MACT emission standards to reduce HAP emissions.⁶ MACT standards are typically implemented 3 years after they are promulgated. During 2003 and 2004, nine MACT standards were implemented by SPPD, and they are presented in Table 1 with their MACT codes. One source category, Secondary Aluminum Production, was recently split into three MACT subcategory groups. Additionally, four source categories are also being evaluated under EPA's RTR Program, and they are also denoted in Table 1.

METHODOLOGY

Extracting NEI Data

The MACT codes of interest in Table 1 were applied to the 2005 NEI, and a total of 787 facilities were identified as being subject to the regulations of interest. Using this list, all of the HAP emissions data were extracted from both the 2005 and 2002 point sources NEI. From the original list of 787 facilities in the 2005 NEI, 682 were also in the 2002 NEI. Thus, all HAP emissions data were retrieved from the 2002 and 2005 NEIs for these 682 facilities.

Of the nine categories, Flexible Polyurethane Foam, Pesticides and Active Ingredients, Polymers and Resins III, Pulp and Paper, and Secondary Aluminum are part of the Risk and Technology Review (RTR) Phase II, Group 3. These categories have undergone extensive review by EPA industry experts and the assignment of MACT codes to emissions units and processes is likely more accurate in the NEI. Version 3 of the 2005 NEI uses RTR data for these categories.⁷ Additionally the pulp and paper data has undergone public review as part of SPPD sector work. Some of the facilities in Polymers and

Resins III category contain emissions units subject other Polymer and Resins categories (I, II, and IV). In some facilities, it is difficult to assign emissions units to the correct Polymer and Resins category. Phase III RTR includes Boat Manufacturing, Nutritional Yeast Manufacturing, Primary Magnesium refining, and Solvent Extraction of Vegetable Oil categories will be initiated later this year.

Toxicity-Weighted Emissions Approach

The more toxic the pollutant, the more risk associated with its emissions in ambient air. However, a pollutant emitted in high quantities does not necessarily present a higher risk to human health than a pollutant emitted in very low quantities. The toxicity-weighted emissions approach for this study consisted of four basic steps:

1. Obtain HAP emissions data for point sources of interest.
2. Apply the mass extraction speciation profiles to extract metal and cyanide mass. This mass extraction step ensures that only the toxic portion of metal or cyanide compound group is considered for toxicity-weightings. Non-metals were multiplied by 1. The only exception is for two chromium species in which the trivalent and hexavalent species are not defined: chromium and chromium compounds.
3. Speciate chromium and chromium compounds into hexavalent and trivalent chromium. For chromium and chromium compounds, it was important to separate trivalent chromium (non-toxic) vs. hexavalent chromium (toxic). To do this, apply the chromium speciation profile to extract the hexavalent chromium mass by industry group using MACT codes, standard industrial classification (SIC) codes, and/or source classification codes (SCCs).
4. Weight the emissions derived in Step 2 and 3 above by their toxicity.
 - a. To weight by cancer toxicity, multiply the emissions of each pollutant by its cancer unit risk estimate (URE).⁸ A URE is an upper bound estimate of an individual's probability of contracting cancer over a lifetime of exposure to a concentration of one microgram of the pollutant per cubic meter of air. Cancer toxicity can be summed together to calculate a cumulative cancer toxicity.
 - b. To weight by noncancer toxicity, divide the emissions of each pollutant by its noncancer reference concentration (RfC).⁸ A RfC is an estimate of a continuous inhalation exposure concentration to people (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. Noncancer pollutants affect specific Target Systems (e.g., Respiratory, Neurological, and Respiratory) and pollutant noncancer toxicity can be summed together by Target System.

While the absolute magnitude of the pollutant-specific toxicity-weighted emissions is not meaningful, the relevant magnitude of toxicity-weighted emissions is useful in identifying the order of potential priority or pollutants of interest. For example, higher values suggest greater priority; however, even the highest values may not reflect potential cancer effects greater than a level of concern (1 in 1 million) or potential noncancer effects above levels of concern (e.g., HQ = 1).

Additionally, the comparison of the toxicity-weighted emissions between the two base years can preliminarily indicate the effectiveness of the implemented MACT standards. However, the real effectiveness of the MACT standards is best measured through NATA modeling and ambient monitoring data.

RESULTS

It is important to note that this study only examines emissions data for facilities and pollutants that were in both the 2002 and 2005 NEIs, and not total emissions from each of those facilities.

Cancer-Causing Pollutants

Table 2 presents the results of the cumulative cancer toxicity-weighted emissions by MACT source category. While emissions for four MACT Standards increased (range: 0.02% to 1,049%), emissions for three MACT Standards decreased (range: -8.6% to -44.1%). Emissions for two MACT standards did not change. The large increase in MACT emissions was for Solvent Extraction for Vegetable Oil (+1,049%), where one facility increased comparative emissions from 14.5 tpy to 166.8 tpy. Decreases in comparative emissions were realized for Pesticide Active Ingredient (-8.6%), Polymers and Resins III (-34.4%), and Secondary Aluminum Production (-44.1%).

Cumulative cancer toxicity increased for four MACT standards (range: <0.01% to 129.6%), while cumulative cancer toxicity decreased for three MACT standards (range: -5.8% to -18.5%). The MACT standards which decreased were: Pesticide Active Ingredient (-5.8%); Pulp and Paper Production (-16.5%); and Secondary Aluminum Production (-18.4%).

Some observations include:

- Polymers and Resins III emissions decreased by 34%, while cancer toxicity-weighted emissions increased by over 58%;
- Pulp and Paper emissions increased by 2%, while cancer toxicity-weighted emissions decreased by over 18%;
- Overall emissions of the cancer-causing pollutants increased by less than 2%; and
- Overall toxicity-weighted emissions of the cancer-causing pollutants decreased by over 16%.

Noncancer-Causing Pollutants

Table 3 presents the results of the cumulative noncancer toxicity-weighted emissions by MACT source category. Overall, emissions for five Target Systems increased (range: 9.9% to 33.1%), while emissions for nine Target Systems decreased (range: -3.6% to -46.9%). The following emissions observations were made for facilities subjected to the study MACTs:

- Secondary Aluminum Production: emissions decreased for 10 of 12 Target Systems;
- Pesticide Active Ingredient Production: emissions decreased for 9 of 14 Target Systems;
- Solvent Extraction for Vegetable Oil Production: emissions increased for 10 of 12 Target Systems;
- Boat Manufacturing: emissions increased for 10 of 11 Target Systems;
- Flexible Polyurethane Foam Fabrication: emissions increased for 7 of 8 Target Systems;
- Polymers and Resins III: emissions decreased for 7 of 11 Target Systems; and
- Pulp and Paper Production: emissions decreased for 8 of 14 Target Systems.

Overall, noncancer toxicity-weighted emissions for five Target Systems increased (range: 1.7% to 146.9%), while noncancer toxicity-weighted emissions decreased for nine Target Systems (range: -7.6% to -42.3%). The following toxicity-weighted noncancer observations were made for facilities subjected to the study MACTs:

- Secondary Aluminum Production: toxicity-weighted emissions decreased for 7 of 12 Target Systems;
- Pesticide Active Ingredient Production: toxicity-weighted emissions decreased for 6 of 14 Target Systems;
- Solvent Extraction for Vegetable Oil Production: toxicity-weighted emissions increased for 9 of 12 Target Systems;
- Boat Manufacturing: toxicity-weighted emissions increased for 10 of 11 Target Systems;
- Flexible Polyurethane Foam Fabrication: toxicity-weighted emissions increased for 7 of 8 Target Systems;

- Polymers and Resins III: toxicity-weighted emissions decreased for 6 of 11 Target Systems; and
- Pulp and Paper Production: toxicity-weighted emissions decreased for 9 of 14 Target Systems.

CONCLUSIONS

Mass and toxicity-weighted emissions (cancer and noncancer) were calculated for facilities subject to MACT standards implemented during 2003 and 2004. Using the emissions data from the 2002 and 2005 point source NEI, a toxicity-weighted approach was applied to compare the mass and toxicity-weighted emissions pre- and post-implementation of the MACT standards. It is important to note that this study only examines emissions data for facilities and pollutants that were in both the 2002 and 2005 NEIs, and not total emissions from each of those facilities.

The following observations were made:

- Toxicity-weighting data from an emissions inventory can be useful for preliminarily evaluating MACT effectiveness and identifying facilities for closer scrutiny. Air quality managers can also use this approach to evaluate NATA results, as well as help target audits.
- Overall emissions of the cancer-causing pollutants for nine MACT Standards increased by less than 2%, yet there was a 16% reduction in cancer toxicity-weighted emissions.
- Overall emissions of the noncancer-causing pollutants for nine MACT Standards increased by nearly 12%, yet there was a reduction in noncancer toxicity-weighted emissions for 9 of 12 target systems.

NEI data for the Phase II, Group 3 RTR categories included in this paper have been improved as a result of public comment, EPA engineering reviews and preliminary exposure modeling. Data for the other categories will be evaluated in the future as part of Phase III RTR to improve emission estimates and assignment to MACT categories. Better inventory data are needed to support regulatory activities and analyses such as the one presented in this paper. State and local agencies, tribes, industry and the public are strongly encouraged to participate in the review of inventory data to improve NEI data and resulting risk analyses and assessments.

REFERENCES

1. U.S. EPA. Clean Air Act Amendments. OAQPS. Internet address: http://www.epa.gov/air/oaq_caa.html/
2. U.S. EPA. 1990 – 2002 NEI HAP Trends: Success of CAA Air Toxic Programs in Reducing HAP Emissions and Risk. Proceedings at the 16th Annual International Emissions Inventory Conference. Raleigh, NC. May 2007. Internet address: <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/conference/ei16/session6/a.pope.pdf>
3. U.S. EPA. Emissions Inventories. Internet address: <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/eiinformation.html>
4. U.S. EPA. 2002 National Emissions Inventory (NEI) Data and Documentation, Version 3. Data retrieved from <ftp://ftp.epa.gov/EmisInventory/2002finalnei/>
5. U.S. EPA. 2005 National Emissions Inventory (NEI), Version 3. Data provided by A. Pope. OAQPS. March 2009.
6. U.S. EPA. National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP). Internet address: <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/mactfnlalph.html>
7. Schaffner, K., et. al. Using and Improving National Emissions Inventory Data for Residual Risk and Technology Review Projects. Paper presented at the 17th Annual International Emission Inventory Conference. Portland, OR. June 2008. Internet address: <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/conference/ei17/session7/schaffner.pdf>

8. U.S. EPA. Health Effects Information Used in Cancer and Noncancer Risk Characterization for the 1999 National-Scale Assessment. OAQPS. November 2007. Internet address:
<http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/nata/>

KEYWORDS

Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)

National Emissions Inventory (NEI)

Toxicity-Weighting

MACT Standards

National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA)

Table 1. Implemented MACT standards, 2003-2004.

MACT Standard	Applicable MACT Codes	Implementation Date	Website
Secondary Aluminum Production	0202, 0202-1, 0202-2	3/24/2003	http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/alum/alumpg.html
Primary Magnesium Production	0207	10/4/2004	http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/pmag/pmagpg.html
Pesticide Active Ingredient	0911	12/23/2003	http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/pest/pestpg.html
Manufacture of Nutritional Yeast	1101	5/21/2004	http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/yeast/yeastpg.html
Solvent Extraction for Vegetable Oil Production	1103	4/12/2004	http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/vegoil/vegoilpg.html
Boat Manufacturing	1305	8/22/2004	http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/boat/boatpg.html
Flexible Polyurethane Foam Fabrication	1341	4/14/2004	http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/foam2/foam2pg.html
Polymers and Resins III	1347	1/20/2003	http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/amino/aminopg.html
Pulp & Paper Production	1626-2	1/12/2004	http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/pulp/pulppg.html

Table 2. Cancer toxicity comparison by MACT standard.

MACT Standard	# Sites	Mass Emissions (tpy)		Cancer Toxicity- Weighted Emissions		% Emissions Change	% Toxicity Change
		2002	2005	2002	2005		
Secondary Aluminum Production ¹	99	177.04	98.95	0.01534	0.01251	-44.11%	-18.43%
Primary Magnesium Production	1	0.05	0.05	0.00001	0.00001	--	--
Pesticide Active Ingredient	19	247.68	226.47	0.01017	0.00958	-8.56%	-5.78%
Manufacture of Nutritional Yeast	2	48.79	48.79	0.00011	0.00011	--	--
Solvent Extraction for Vegetable Oil Production	40	14.50	166.76	0.00076	0.00174	1049.80%	129.62%
Boat Manufacturing	48	3.44	4.53	0.00149	0.00254	31.74%	70.52%
Flexible Polyurethane Foam Fabrication	3	16.846	16.849	0.00007	0.00007	0.02%	<0.01%
Polymers and Resins III	22	172.36	113.07	0.00012	0.00018	-34.40%	58.29%
Pulp & Paper Production ²	140	5,480.07	5,590.55	0.16399	0.13372	2.02%	-18.46%
OVERALL	374	6,160.79	6,266.01	0.19204	0.16046	1.71%	-16.45%

-- = no change

¹ Includes 1 facility also subject to the Boat Manufacturing MACT.

² Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-alone Semichemical Pulping Mills.

Table 3. Noncancer toxicity comparison by MACT standard.

MACT Standard	Target System	# Sites	Mass Emissions (tpy)		Cancer Toxicity- Weighted Emissions		% Emissions Change	% Toxicity Change
			2002	2005	2002	2005		
Secondary Aluminum ¹	Developmental	136	109.30	79.21	30,673.54	29,419.70	-27.53%	-4.09%
	Endocrine	5	11.29	5.93	28.23	14.83	-47.47%	-47.47%
	Hematological	22	5.17	5.56	258.56	277.86	7.46%	7.46%
	Immunological	77	16.51	14.43	70,624.10	91,321.39	-12.59%	29.31%
	Kidney	36	12.06	7.85	38,637.06	87,921.79	-34.94%	127.56%
	Liver	26	6.39	6.76	262.12	281.35	5.84%	7.34%
	Neurological	92	799.73	471.87	328,801.19	209,348.16	-41.00%	-36.33%
	Ocular	1	6.07	6.07	10.12	10.12	--	--
	Reproductive	28	366.75	217.48	18,337.27	10,873.78	-40.70%	-40.70%
	Respiratory	123	1,703.96	1,178.47	424,642.88	495,679.23	-30.84%	16.73%
	Skeletal	36	630.42	517.26	21,013.87	17,242.03	-17.95%	-17.95%
	Thyroid	5	0.69	0.69	230.05	229.72	-0.14%	-0.14%
Primary Magnesium	Developmental	1	<0.01	<0.01	2.09	2.09	--	--
	Hematological	1	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	--	--
	Immunological	1	0.01	0.01	165.99	165.99	--	--
	Kidney	1	<0.01	<0.01	16.39	16.39	--	--
	Liver	1	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	0.01	--	--
	Neurological	1	0.54	0.54	5.88	5.88	--	--
	Respiratory	1	5.88	5.88	7,115.39	7,115.39	--	--
Pesticide Active Ingredient	Developmental	18	243.16	253.21	29,933.52	30,798.68	4.13%	2.89%
	Endocrine	4	12.11	10.41	30.27	26.02	-14.01%	-14.01%
	Hematological	11	1.50	1.05	9.24	10.97	-29.91%	18.67%
	Immunological	17	39.74	26.98	2,318.27	4,693.19	-32.13%	102.44%
	Kidney	15	22.98	15.64	77,076.31	76,990.73	-31.94%	-0.11%
	Liver	17	239.70	138.44	1,694.79	572.42	-42.24%	-66.22%
	Neurological	19	472.42	276.53	11,882.01	14,659.88	-41.47%	23.38%
	Ocular	4	10.48	8.34	17.46	19.07	-20.43%	9.21%
	Reproductive	12	11.46	31.80	3,224.22	5,892.22	177.50%	82.75%
	Respiratory	20	2,583.47	1,235.58	427,008.17	317,560.88	-52.17%	-25.63%
	Skeletal	4	4.51	5.52	150.19	183.96	22.48%	22.48%
	Spleen	2	0.26	0.36	263.80	362.40	37.38%	37.38%
	Thyroid	6	5.79	1.08	1,930.14	361.64	-81.26%	-81.26%
	Whole body	7	7.95	8.19	440.96	359.05	2.95%	-18.57%
Manufacture of Nutritional Yeast	Immunological	2	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	--	--
	Respiratory	2	48.79	48.79	5,420.52	5,420.52	--	--

Table 3. Noncancer toxicity comparison by MACT standard (Continued).

MACT Standard	Target System	# Sites	Mass Emissions (tpy)		Cancer Toxicity- Weighted Emissions		% Emissions Change	% Toxicity Change
			2002	2005	2002	2005		
Solvent Extraction for Vegetable Oil Production	Developmental	29	59.32	127.37	1,877.98	4,828.84	114.70%	157.13%
	Endocrine	2	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	--	--
	Hematological	21	0.08	0.38	4.12	18.99	360.37%	360.37%
	Immunological	38	1.81	3.05	17,945.13	15,706.67	68.39%	-12.47%
	Kidney	26	0.11	0.12	4,653.62	4,862.73	8.45%	4.49%
	Liver	23	0.35	0.79	6.04	28.83	129.20%	377.48%
	Neurological	47	8,156.71	9,397.34	47,056.90	54,266.89	15.21%	15.32%
	Ocular	3	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	3.56%	1.66%
	Reproductive	5	0.01	0.01	3.19	0.36	-19.34%	-88.67%
	Respiratory	51	8,460.22	10,060.71	102,874.97	147,075.79	18.92%	42.97%
	Skeletal	8	59.85	57.58	1,994.99	1,919.22	-3.80%	-3.80%
	Thyroid	1	0.38	0.40	127.35	134.67	5.75%	5.75%
Boat Manufacturing	Developmental	57	32.27	32.92	42.89	48.96	2.01%	14.15%
	Endocrine	10	0.62	0.67	1.55	1.67	8.02%	8.02%
	Hematological	10	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.45%	26.15%
	Immunological	29	0.33	0.46	1,134.38	3,098.12	40.65%	173.11%
	Kidney	20	0.65	0.70	5.60	14.14	7.70%	152.69%
	Liver	28	6.86	7.40	39.04	39.42	7.96%	0.97%
	Neurological	245	6,358.78	10,658.09	29,636.46	54,126.82	67.61%	82.64%
	Reproductive	19	155.94	156.35	7,796.84	7,817.50	0.26%	0.26%
	Respiratory	160	590.43	965.82	18,024.36	78,308.56	63.58%	334.46%
	Spleen	5	0.13	0.13	129.37	128.43	-0.72%	-0.72%
Flexible Polyurethane Foam Fabrication	Developmental	2	0.18	0.18	0.43	0.19	-0.01%	-56.63%
	Immunological	2	8.49	8.49	282.83	282.84	--	--
	Liver	2	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	--	--
	Neurological	2	5.86	6.22	387.10	528.21	6.18%	36.45%
	Respiratory	4	27.00	36.02	1,575.16	2,010.24	33.41%	27.62%
	Skeletal	1	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	--	--
	Thyroid	2	1.12	1.55	373.53	515.23	37.93%	37.93%
	Whole body	1	0.43	0.43	7.17	7.17	--	--
Polymers and Resins III	Developmental	21	1,336.96	322.63	469.17	236.75	-75.87%	-49.54%
	Endocrine	2	0.62	0.78	1.55	1.94	24.70%	24.70%
	Hematological	4	0.67	0.66	0.25	0.26	-0.36%	1.82%
	Immunological	12	2.29	10.76	3,293.10	3,929.98	370.65%	19.34%
	Kidney	9	1.29	1.47	73.41	81.63	14.18%	11.20%
	Liver	18	722.81	94.00	3,610.71	466.94	-87.00%	-87.07%

Table 3. Noncancer toxicity comparison by MACT standard (Continued).

MACT Standard	Target System	# Sites	Mass Emissions (tpy)		Cancer Toxicity- Weighted Emissions		% Emissions Change	% Toxicity Change
			2002	2005	2002	2005		
Polymers and Resins III (Cont.)	Neurological	14	311.43	113.36	2,349.89	959.19	-63.60%	-59.18%
	Ocular	4	0.30	0.49	15.15	24.48	61.57%	61.57%
	Reproductive	3	146.77	3.48	7,338.71	174.09	-97.63%	-97.63%
	Respiratory	22	298.92	153.52	71,270.05	36,504.31	-48.64%	-48.78%
	Whole body	3	0.91	0.43	1.52	0.72	-52.46%	-52.46%
Pulp & Paper Production ²	Developmental	143	45,412.50	54,765.80	366,673.95	371,635.90	20.60%	1.35%
	Endocrine	35	61.29	49.53	247.51	218.12	-19.18%	-11.88%
	Hematological	74	6.97	11.51	349.41	576.50	65.13%	64.99%
	Immunological	123	258.13	333.13	620,649.14	1,649,599.82	29.06%	165.79%
	Kidney	101	167.21	198.77	308,020.92	88,695.70	18.88%	-71.20%
	Liver	130	1,615.75	1,919.24	18,075.73	20,106.36	18.78%	11.23%
	Neurological	132	1,902.93	2,180.50	2,568,034.28	1,391,404.57	14.59%	-45.82%
	Ocular	50	49.83	37.54	82.90	62.45	-24.67%	-24.68%
	Reproductive	47	30.03	26.46	2,115.21	1,691.84	-11.90%	-20.02%
	Respiratory	142	12,849.90	11,930.61	8,913,961.96	9,526,014.80	-7.15%	6.87%
	Skeletal	35	259.26	174.09	8,642.10	5,803.05	-32.85%	-32.85%
	Spleen	3	0.01	0.01	11.17	10.91	-2.31%	-2.31%
	Thyroid	4	1.80	1.47	5,198.09	5,087.53	-18.38%	-2.13%
	Whole body	65	628.85	569.14	1,074.04	974.52	-9.50%	-9.27%
OVERALL	Developmental	407	47,193.69	55,581.31	429,673.57	436,971.10	17.77%	1.70%
	Endocrine	58	85.93	67.32	309.11	262.59	-21.66%	-15.05%
	Hematological	143	14.42	19.19	621.61	884.60	33.10%	42.31%
	Immunological	301	327.30	397.30	716,412.95	1,768,798.00	21.39%	146.90%
	Kidney	208	204.30	224.55	428,483.30	258,583.09	9.91%	-39.65%
	Liver	245	2,592.61	2,167.39	23,689.18	21,496.09	-16.40%	-9.26%
	Neurological	552	18,008.40	23,104.46	2,988,153.73	1,725,299.61	28.30%	-42.26%
	Ocular	62	66.69	52.45	125.64	116.12	-21.36%	-7.58%
	Reproductive	114	710.96	435.57	38,815.45	26,449.79	-38.73%	-31.86%
	Respiratory	525	26,568.56	25,615.39	9,971,893.48	10,615,689.72	-3.59%	6.46%
	Skeletal	84	954.03	754.45	31,801.14	25,148.25	-20.92%	-20.92%
	Spleen	10	0.40	0.50	404.34	501.74	24.09%	24.09%
	Thyroid	18	9.79	5.20	7,859.16	6,328.78	-46.89%	-19.47%
	Whole body	76	638.14	578.19	1,523.68	1,341.46	-9.40%	-11.96%

-- = no change

¹ Includes 1 facility also subject to the Boat Manufacturing MACT.

² Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-alone Semichemical Pulping Mills.